

If You Are Doing Only About Half-Enough Business You Are Probably Doing About Half-Enough Advertising.

DESERTER EVENING NEWS.

TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

MONDAY, JULY 4, 1904. SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

Your "Want" Is Not Important—To Anyone But Yourself—Until It Has Been "Put Into Type."

12 PAGES

FIFTY-FOURTH YEAR

It Is Fourth of July All Over the World

By ALOYSIUS COLL

I
When the muskets flashed at Lexington
With an ominous boom and bang,
When the ancient bell of Liberty
With the chimes of Freedom rang,
Their tidings faintly reached the ears
Of a faithful little band,
But now the Fourth of July is heard
In every peopled land!

II
Each mount and knoll in Columbia
Is another Bunker Hill,
Where bombs explode and cannon roar
And pennants flutter still,
And up and down the smoky green,
In a chaos of fire and noise,
Are Freedom's rampant flying squads
Of charging men and boys.

III
From the isles of the Caribbean again
The screaming rockets rise,
Like an eagle that seeks a prize of place
For liberty in the skies,
An eagle whose wings are fluttering flags,
The flags of the free unfurled,
His tail a burning sheaf of stars
That shine for all the world.

IV
In old Manila's footworn streets,
Across her glittering bay,
The patriotic fires are lit
For Independence day,
The thundering memories are kept,
And the blazing flags unfurled,
For now indeed it is Fourth of July
In every nook of the world!

V
Some day, when every tribe shall see
The light of the magic done,
The fiery breath of Tyranny
Shall sink with the setting sun,
And then to proud Columbia,
Where Freedom first unfurled
Her flag, shall rise the glad acclaim
Of a liberated world.

Copyright, 1904, by Aloysius Coll

BATTLE SURGES AROUND PARKER.

Senator Hill Says That He Will Be Nominated by Gorman.

BOURKE COCKRAN'S STATEMENT

New York Delegation, Under Unit Rule, For Parker, But Personally Prefer Cleveland.

ANTI-PARKERITES NOT DISMAYED.

They Claim to Have Canvassed the Situation Thoroughly and Say He Will Not Receive Over 300 Votes.

St. Louis, Mo., July 4.—"Before the day closes we shall know exactly where Mr. Gorman stands."

This is the message which Col. Murray Vandiver, one of the delegates-at-large from Maryland, delivered to a meeting of the Maryland delegation, with Senator Davis at the latter's room early today.

The situation was to have been discussed at length at this conference, but when it was discovered that Mr. Gorman had not yet made any definite announcement, an adjournment was taken to await his action. Mr. Vandiver stated that he had been notified by Mr. Gorman in a letter which would reach St. Louis during the day, and it was upon the strength of this information that Mr. Vandiver made his statement that definite information is in immediate prospect.

GORMAN'S CANDIDACY.

Som of the parties to the conference seemed to have precise information as to what had been received from him since yesterday, when he gave his friends to understand that he would be a candidate in case it became apparent that Judge Parker could not win. Most of them considered enough, and they are now casting about for another landing.

It is evident that he is a quitter, and that he is not in a position to deal with contingencies. We wait to see what he will do on this occasion. They do not believe Mr. Gorman's letter will have effect of practically taking him out of the race.

WEST VIRGINIA FOR HIM.

Notwithstanding this indecision, most of the West Virginia delegates still adhere to their determination to support the candidacy of their neighbor. The most of them considered enough, and they are now casting about for another landing.

Two important statements bearing on the Gorman situation came from the New York state delegation today. One, emanating from the Parker headquarters, was to the effect that Senator Gorman's expected letter would say that he declined to be a candidate, and that already the Maryland delegation professed to know of this. Senator Hill professed to know nothing of this, but said:

GORMAN WILL NAME PARKER.

"We know that he will nominate Parker," William F. Rhoads, who is in charge of the work of state delegates, said. "We have reason to believe that Senator Gorman will name a candidate, and we already know that he will not allow his name to be put in order to solidify a minority sentiment against Parker."

TAMMANY'S ATTITUDE.

The other statement came from the Tammany headquarters, and was to the effect that a poll of the delegates showed that it was possible for Parker to obtain more than a bare majority on either the first or second ballots, and that after that first ballot

there would be concentration on a dark horse that would mean defeat for Parker. Leader Charles F. Murphy reiterated the statement that Parker could not carry New York state, but he did not dwell much on the Cleveland situation.

"This failure to enthrone much over the Cleveland candidacy led Eliot Danforth, who is very close to Senator Hill to say:

NOT FOR CLEVELAND.

"Tammany is not for Cleveland and would desert him if it came to a point where he might be nominated. They are for nobody for president. They want McClellan for governor and if, at any time between now and Friday, they could get a promise that McClellan would be nominated they would stop working against Judge Parker."

"Will they get such a promise?" was asked.

"They will not, and they will find that their votes will help to nominate Parker."

Senator Hill was asked if any attempt would be made to break the two-thirds rule in favor of a majority. He said that the Parker adherents had no such intention.

What is regarded as a significant incident about Senator Hill's headquarters today was the visit paid by many members of the Pennsylvania delegation. Senator Hill was asked whether Pennsylvania would vote for Parker, he replied:

"Pennsylvania has not had its meeting yet, and I can only say things look favorable."

Mr. Cockran, of Tammany, said: "Mr. Hill's attitude is a very good one. The various favorite sons will receive a total of more than three-fifths of the convention's first ballot, and after that there will be concentration on another candidate."

"Will that be Mayor McClellan?" was asked.

PERSONALLY PREFER CLEVELAND.

Mr. Cockran raised his eyebrows and said: "I can not tell what the convention may do. Our votes will be cast for Parker under the unit rule but personally we are at present for Cleveland."

The anti-Parkerites are not dismayed by today's developments, and continued to hold conferences for the purpose of devising ways and means for the defeat of the New York candidate. Said National Committeeman J. G. Johnson of Kansas, after one of these early morning exchanges:

"I find much that is encouraging in a thorough canvass of the situation, because we can not see where Parker can get to exceed 300 votes."

He added the opinion that Mr. Parker would never receive a majority of the votes of the convention.

PARKER OPPOSITION.

The Parker opposition has been somewhat encouraged by the arrival of the Tammany contingent and their opposition to the candidate from their state. They also count upon New Jersey's adhering to Mr. Cleveland.

On the other hand some of the Parker people are now claiming the nomination and their man on the first ballot, and they count upon New Jersey's adhering to Mr. Cleveland.

On the other hand some of the Parker people are now claiming the nomination and their man on the first ballot, and they count upon New Jersey's adhering to Mr. Cleveland.

McCLELLAN BADGES.

Shortly after the noon hour some Tammany men began to appear with McClellan badges. The badges were elaborate and bore pictures of New York's young mayor. Tons of literature taken into Tammany headquarters was broken open, and in the hotel corridors there was soon a plethora of McClellan matter, but no mention of Cleveland.

NATIONAL COMMITTEE.

Contest from Illinois Most Important One Before It.

St. Louis, Mo., July 4.—The national committee met at 10 o'clock to take up the contests for temporary seats in the convention. The main fight was in the Illinois case, which included the seats of John Hopkins and Ben T. Cable, delegates at large, and two delegates from 12 other districts.

William J. Bryan, who has made the fight of the Illinois contestants a matter of his own, was one of the first to enter the committee room having obtained the proxy of James C. Dahlgren, national committeeman from Nebraska. John P. Hopkins was there to fight for his own seat, holding a proxy which he had obtained from Thomas Gahan, the committeeman from Illinois.

Mr. Hopkins was confident as he entered the room, saying:

"The fight is beginning, but it is just as good as finished. Everything will be lovely."

Millard C. Dunlap, one of the Hearst

The Utah Democrats Arrive at St. Louis.

Senator Dubois Wants Declaration on "Mormon" Question—Not Meeting With Much Favor—Opposition to Parker, Hearst Beaten, Gorman Out, Cleveland "Dead" and Olney Boom Not Yet Started.

(Special to the "News.")
St. Louis, Mo., July 4.—The Utah delegates have all arrived and are now busily engaged familiarizing themselves with the situation. Senator Dubois is waging an active campaign in favor of a declaration in the platform on the "Mormon" question, but at this time does not seem to be meeting with much success. The Utah members are active in their opposition to him, and feel confident of success.

Much opposition is being manifest to Parker, but the opposing forces at this time do not seem to be combining in favor of any particular candidate. Hearst is beaten, Gorman is not arousing any enthusiasm, Cleveland is still "dead," and Olney's boom has not arrived. Towne is frequently mentioned as a compromise candidate, and Mr. Bryan is still popular with the "boys," and will be active in the convention matters, the chances at this time being that he will control the platform building. The weather is fair and the delegates are happy and enthusiastic. All are predicting success at November. If the convention exercises reasonable prudence, and at this time the indications are that cool judgment and not passion, will control.

Did Salt Laker's Family Go Down to Death?

Anxious Husband and Father Believes They Were Among Passengers of the Ill-Fated Norge—Story of How They Came to Start for Utah Has Already Been Told in Local Press.

C. D. Sorenson, 643 south Fourth West, is an anxious man today. There is just a possibility that his wife and children did not go down in the ill-fated Danish steamer Norge, which left Copenhagen June 22 to founder in the North Atlantic a few days ago with 80 souls on board. The possibility is remote, however, for it is believed without doubt that Mrs. Sorenson and family were on the boat.

The story of the manner in which they came to start for Utah was told over two weeks ago. On the morning of June 14

managers, said before the committee that he had not made a canvass of the committee, and was not in a position to make predictions with confidence as to the result of the committee work.

The Harrison and Hearst factions scored the first success before the committee. As soon as the Illinois fight was taken up, it was agreed by both sides that the better way to settle the matter was to permit it to rest with a sub-committee which should report to the national committee later. The important issue then came in the manner of the appointment of the sub-committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to have him appoint the committee. John P. Hopkins made a vigorous argument in favor of the appointment of a sub-committee by vote of the national committee. The first test of strength came on June 3, when the national committee, Chairman James K. Jones, of the national committee, is favorably inclined to the Harrison and Hearst people, and they naturally desired to